DET KGL. DANSKE VIDENSKABERNES SELSKAB MATEMATISK-FYSISKE MEDDELELSER, BIND XXII, NR. 3

References.

ANTONIANI (1935) R. Inst. Lomb. Sc. e. Lettere, Rendiconti [2], 68, 355. HELFERICH (1938) Ergebn. Enzymf. 7, 95. Here other references. HELFERICH, GOOTZ, PETERS and GÜNTHER (1932) Z. physiol. Chem. 208, 91. HELFERICH, SCHEIBER, STREECK and VORSATZ (1935) Liebigs Ann. 518, 213. HELFERICH and SCHMITZ-HILLEBRECHT (1933) Ber. dtsch. Chem. Ges. 66, 378. HELFERICH and VORSATZ (1935) Z. physiol. Chem. 237, 254. HILL (1934) Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. 86, 115. HOFMANN (1932) Biochem. Z. 256, 462. HOFMANN (1934) Naturwiss. 22, 406. HOFMANN (1935) Biochem. Z. 281, 438. JOSEPHSON (1925) Z. physiol. Chem. 147, 1. Mc ILVAINE (1921) J. biol. Chem. 49, 183. MIWA, CHENG, FUJISAKE and TOISCHI (1937) Acta Phytochim. (jap.) 10, 155. NEUBERG and HOFMANN (1932) Biochem. Z. 256, 450. VEIBEL (1937) Enzymol. 3, 147. VEIBEL and ERIKSEN (1936) Biochem. J. 30, 163. VEIBEL and ERIKSEN (1937) D. Kgl. Danske Vidensk. Selskab, Math.-fys. Medd. XIV, 15. VEIBEL and LILLELUND (1938) Enzymol. 5, 129. VEIBEL and LILLELUND (1940, 1) D. Kgl. Danske Vidensk. Selskab. Math.fvs. Medd. XVII, 6. VEIBEL and LILLELUND (1940, 2) Enzymol. 9, 161. WEIDENHAGEN (1929) Z. Verein dtsch. Zuckerind. 79, 591.

ZEMPLÉN (1938) Fortschr. Chem. org. Naturst. I p. 18.

ZEMPLÉN and PASCU (1929) Ber. dtsch. Chem. Ges. 62, 1613.

THE STRUCTURE OF BaCl₂, 2H₂O

BY

AKSEL TOVBORG JENSEN



KØBENHAVN I KOMMISSION HOS EJNAR MUNKSGAARD 1945

Indleveret til Selskabet den 9. Juli 1943 Færdig fra Trykkeriet den 12. Marts 1945.

INTRODUCTION

The structural differences between $CuCl_2$, $2H_2O^1$ and $SrCl_2$, $2H_2O^2$ are readily explained by the fact that the bonds of the Cupric ion are directional while the bonds of the Strontium ion are not. The reason why there exist a considerable number of different structures of compounds AX₂, 2H₂O (A being an alkaline earth metal, X a halogen) is less obvious. Usually a compound type with only one "variable", the radius ratio R_{cation} , is not expected to exhibit such a variety of different Ranion

crystal structures. However, it must be borne in mind that R_{H.O}

is probably constant, so that we have two variables, $\frac{R_{eation}}{R_{anion}}$ and $\frac{R_{anion}}{R_{H_{\bullet}O}}$, each of which on alteration tend to alter the structure.

In other words, we have a definition interval which is a rectangle and not a straight line. The only way to an understanding of the dynamics of a group of compounds is through a detailed knowledge of their geometry. The present paper and the preceding one² provide this knowledge for two points of the definition interval, but investigations of several other points would be desirable.

X-ray investigations on BaCl₂, 2 H₂O were commenced by NÁRAY-SZABÓ and SASVÁRI,³ who abandoned it. After a considerable amount of labour the present author also had to leave the problem unsolved. The complete structure of $SrCl_2$, $2H_2O^2$, however, shed some fresh light on it, and by utilizing all the scattered data and some new ones it proved possible to determine the complete structure of BaCl₂, 2 H₂O.

¹ D. HARKER, Z. Krist. 93 (1936) 136.

² A. TOVBORG JENSEN. D. Kgl. Danske Vidensk. Selskab, Mat.-fys. Medd. XX (1942) Nr. 5.

³ St. v. NÁRAV-SZABÓ and K. SASVÁRI, Z. Krist 97 (1937) 235.

Printed in Denmark. Bianco Lunos Bogtrykkeri A/S

Crystallography of $BaCl_2$, $2H_2O$.

The crystal class of $BaCl_2$, $2 H_2O$ was shown by WYROUBOFF and $GROTH^1$ to be 2/m (C_{2h}), the monoclinic holohedral class. The space group was found by Náray-Szabó and Sasvári to be $P 2_1/n (C_{2h}^5)$. The systematic absences in diagrams indexed by the author are in agreement with $P 2_1/n$, and the structure finally arrived at proves the assignment of $BaCl_2$, $2 H_2O$ to the holohedral class to be correct.

The space group C_{2h}^5 in the orientation $P2_1/n$ has the following special points:

2: (a)
$$000; \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}$$

(b) $\frac{1}{2}00; 0\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}$
(c) $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}0; 00\frac{1}{2}$
(d) $\frac{1}{2}0\frac{1}{2}; 0\frac{1}{2}0$

and the general point

4: (e) xyz;
$$\overline{x} \overline{y} \overline{z}$$
; $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $\frac{1}{2} + z$; $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$.

The structure factor is

$$\mathbf{A} = 4\cos 2\pi \left(\mathbf{h}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}\mathbf{z} + \frac{\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l}}{4}\right)\cos 2\pi \left(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y} - \frac{\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l}}{4}\right)$$

 $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0}$

separated

$$h + k + l = 2n | A = -4\cos 2\pi (hx + lz)\cos 2\pi ky$$
$$B = 0$$
$$h + k + l = 2n + 1 | A = -4\sin 2\pi (hx + lz)\sin 2\pi ky$$

| B = 0.The lattice constants given in² and a set of accurate values

obtained by the author are given in Table 1. The agreement is

¹ GMELINS Handbuch d. anorg. Ch. System Nr. 30. Ba.

² ST. V. NÁRAY-SZABÓ and K. ŠASVÁRI, Z. Krist. 97 (1937) 235.

good. The second set is considered the more accurate, since they are determined from powder photographs (Phragmén focussing camera and circular Bradley camera, 191 mm. diameter), while the first set are from oscillation photographs.

BaCl₂, 2 H₂O is the well known hydrate of BaCl₂. BaCl₂, H₂O has never been obtained from an aqueous solution. KIRSCHNER (¹ p. 180) prepared BaCl₂, H₂O by treating solid BaCl₂, 2 H₂O with methyl alcohol. The solubility curve of BaCl₂, 2 H₂O is known from the cryohydratic point -7.8° and upwards. The temperature at which this curve and the solubility curve of the lower hydrate (monohydrate) intersect is not known, but is has been stated to be above 60° C. *Vide*¹ p. 180. Incidentally this temperature has been found to lie between 108° and 110° C., in the course of experiments to prepare mixed crystals of BaCl₂, 2 H₂O and BaBr₂, 2 H₂O with a maximum of BaBr₂, 2 H₂O. Below 108° C. crystals of BaCl₂, 2 H₂O under mother liquor will keep indefinitely; above 110° C., they deteriorate rapidly.

Catalogues of Reflections.

The following lists of reflections were applied in deducing the structure.

(1) Complete set of 30° oscillation diagrams of [001] zone, camera diameter 100 mm, CuK_{α} radiation.

(2) Complete series of 30° oscillation diagrams of [100] zone, FeK_{α} radiation.

(3) hk0, hk1, h0l, h1l, h2l, h3l Weissenberg diagrams, Buerger goniometer, CrK_{α} radiation.

(4) Full rotation photograph of a cylindrical crystal, [001] axis.

(5) Powder photographs, Phragmén focussing camera, CrK_{α} and K_{β} radiation. Powder photograph, CoK_{α} radiation, circular camera 191 mm. diameter.

(1) and (2) were indexed by Bernal's method.

The equatorial intensities of (1), (2), and (4) were used for Fourier syntheses. (3) afforded an easy check on the space group, (5) were used for lattice constant determination, and the intensities applied to check the complete structure.

¹ GMELINS Handbuch d. anorg. Ch. System Nr. 30. Ba.

 $\mathbf{5}$

Fourier syntheses.

The difficulties in determining the complete structure of $BaCl_2$, 2 H₂O already realized by Náray-Szabó and Sasvári¹ are easily seen. The Ba atom contains so many electrons that it obscures the Cl and O atoms. The number of electrons in Ba⁺⁺, Cl⁻⁻ and O are 54, 18, and 8, or as 100: 32: 15. At high reflection angles the effective numbers of electrons in Cl⁻⁻ and O are even smaller



Fig. 1. Patterson-Fourier projection on 100 in BaCl₂, 2 H₂O. Visually estimated intensities. Ba-Ba peaks shown as stars.

fractions of the number for Ba^{++} . At $\sin \theta / \lambda = 0.5$ the figures are 100:25:8. These figures pertain to atoms at rest. At room temperature the figures are still more unfavourable since thermal movements tend to decrease the effective number of electrons in lighter atoms more strongly than in heavy ones.

The observed spot intensities hk0 and 0hk were converted into series of approximate F's by the aid of appropriate charts. Such charts are described in.²

Patterson-Fourier projections on 100 and 001 were computed and drawn (Fig. 1 and Fig 3). Fig. 2 shows the projection unit, its symmetry elements and the calculation area in the two strictly analogous cases. The space group $P 2_1/n (C_{2b}^5)$ projects

¹ ST. v. Náray-Szabó and K. Sasvári, Z. Krist. 97 (1937) 235.

² A. TOVBORG JENSEN, D. Kgl. Danske Vidensk. Selskab, Mat.-fys. Medd. XX (1942) Nr. 5.

on to 100 as well as on 001 in the plane group Pba, *vide*¹ and ². An atomic arrangement of Pba symmetry gives a Patterson vector map of Pmm symmetry.

The Ba-Ba peaks on Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 are very conspicuous and easily found. It is seen at once from the figures that the Ba atom is in general position. The special



7

Fig. 2. Unit of projection and symmetry elements in 100 and 001 Patterson-Fourier projections in BaCl₂, 2 H₂O. Shaded: area of calculation.

two-fold positions $00, \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}0, 0\frac{1}{2}$ are ruled out. Atoms at these special positions should give rise to peaks in the vector map at 00 and $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}$, and the vector maps contain no outstanding peak at $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}$.

One atom in general position xy; $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$; $\overline{x}\overline{y}$; $\frac{1}{2} + x$, -y produces a set of peaks situated as shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 3. Patterson-Fourier projection on 001 in BaCl₂, 2 H₂O. Visually estimated intensities. Ba-Ba peaks shown as stars.

Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 correspond exactly to Fig. 4, and finally prove the Ba atom to be in general position. Ba-coordinates found from

¹ A. L. PATTERSON, Z. Krist. 90 (1935) 543
 ² W. L. BRAGG and H. LIPSON, Z. Krist. 95 (1936) 323.

Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 are x, y = 0.04, 0.22; y, z = 0.22, 0.15. The two independent values of y agree, but are rather different from the value 0.16-0.17 surmised by NARAY-SZABÓ and SASVÁRI.¹ More accurate values were determined later.

Each Patterson diagram yields 4 different sets of the two Ba-coordinates, but all 4 sets are really identical, differing only in choice of origin and axis orientation.

The Ba-coordinates obtained suffice to fix the signs of all reflections. With the F values already employed and the signs



Fig. 4. Interatomic vectors (Patterson peaks) corresponding to one atom in general position in the plane group Pba. Shaded: area of calculation. now obtained the corresponding Bragg-Fourier projections were computed. They are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. Heavy Ba atoms appear where they were expected, but the remaining peaks are not capable of immediate interpretation. The projection area, one quarter of the unit cell, should contain two medium peaks (Cl), and two low peaks (O), and peaks should appear at the same y-values in the two gures contain too many peaks, some

projections. Evidently the figures contain too many peaks, some of which must be due to erroneous F-values, and to diffraction effects caused by the breaking off of the series at low values of hkl. An attempt to identify some of the peaks as parts of diffraction rings ("ghost"-synthesis, $vide^{3}$) from the heavy atoms was without result.

In order to see which of the minor peaks in Fig. 5 were spurious, a new and presumably more accurate set of F(hk0)'s was provided in the following way. A crystal was oriented by optical goniometry, then dissolved down to a cylinder of 0.7 mm. diameter. A full rotation photograph and a zero-layer-line Weissenberg diagram were taken with Cu-radiation. On the rotation photograph a calibration strip was printed by exposing the film to the direct beam through a rotating desk in which is cut a sector limited by a radius and a logarithmic spiral curve. The amount of radiation falling at a distance X from the end of the

² A. TOVBORG JENSEN, D. Kgl. Danske Vidensk. Selskab, Mat.-fys. Medd. XX (1942) Nr. 5.



Fig. 5. Bragg-Fourier projection on 100 in BaCl₂, 2 H₂O. Visually estimated intensities.

Fig. 6. Bragg-Fourier projection on 001 in BaCl₂, 2 H₂O. Visually estimated intensities.

 \odot

 \cap

→ b

9

exposed strip is proportional to X. By the Kipp automatic photometer the zero-layer-line in the rotation photograph and the calibration strip were registered on the same diagram. From this diagram the amount of radiation falling in each spot on the zero-layer line could be measured. All peaks on the photometer curve were taken to be triangles. Their breadths were measured at the bottom and at half heights, and by referring to the calibration curve the amounts of radiation falling on each spot were calculated in arbitrary units. The amounts of radiation were divided by the polarisation and Lorentz factors and the absorption factor. The quotient gives $F^2(hk0)$ in arbitrary units. The polarization and Lorentz factors were taken from *Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen* p. 567. The absorption factor was calculated according to

¹ ST. v. Náray-Szabó and K. Sasvári, Z. Krist. 97 (1937) 235.

Nr. 3

Nr. 3

BRADLEY¹. It is large and somewhat uncertain when the crystal, as here, is composed of heavy atoms. The $F^2(hk0)$'s obtained were used in a new Patterson synthesis which is not reproduced. It was strikingly similar to the first 001 synthesis. Then a 001 Bragg-Fourier synthesis was performed. The result is given in Fig. 8. The picture is not quite identical with the first 001 projection, but the general similarity of the two projections cannot be denied, and it suggests that the secondary peaks in Fig. 6 are not all spurious. The two prominent peaks A and B in Fig. 6



Fig. 7. Unit of projection and symmetry elements in the plane group Pba.

are found again in Fig. 8 with practically identical coordinates. They most probably represent atoms. In the 100 projection Fig. 5 we have two distinct peaks C and D with similar y coordinates. The prominent peaks E and F near the Ba atoms must be left out of consideration. Cl atoms at E and F irrespective of their X-coordinates would be too near the Ba

atoms. The suggestion offers itself, that A-B-C-D are the Cl atoms. This suggestion is made the more probable as Cl atoms situated at A etc. would have reasonable distances from two adjacent Ba atoms (whose coordinates we know accurately). The proposed Cl atoms would link the Ba atoms in sheets parallel with the developed face of our crystal. A similar arrangement has previously been found in $SrCl_2$, $2H_2O$.

A calculation showed that this arrangement of Cl atoms would also explain quite nicely all secondary peaks in the 001 Patterson projection as due to interaction between Ba and Cl.

The projections discussed give few or no indications of the O-coordinates. The projection Fig. 8, which must be considered more accurate than Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, however, seems to indicate that O atoms must be somewhere on the "high ground" in the upper half of the picture.

A model to scale of the proposed structure was built of plasticine and glass rods. Combination of the two projections to a structure in space involves no difficulties. The model suggested one and only one position for each of the water molecules rea-

¹ A. J. BRADLEY, Proc. Phys. Soc. 47 (1935) 879.

sonably to be expected from space considerations. In the positions suggested each water molecule links two adjacent Ba atoms.

The entire atomic arrangement consists of a stacking of sheets. Each sheet is a complete $(BaCl_2, 2H_2O)_{n^2}$ molecule as far as geometrical aspects are concerned.

SrCl₂, 2 H₂O previously investigated $\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ contains similar sheets, but the arrangement of H₂O and Cl around the metal atoms is different in the two cases. \mathcal{Q}

A preliminary calculation of 0kl intensities showed satisfactory agreement with the observed values.

Atomic Parameters.

Before subjecting the proposed structure to the final test of comparing calculated and observed intensities of the general type hkl, the best values of atomic parameters were selected. Parameter values from different projections are undoubtedly of varying accuracy. All intensities employed are not equally accurate, thus data with Cu radiation are to be preferred to Fe radiation data. Photometric estimation is considered better than visual estimation. But it is not easy to see which of the two independent sets of values obtained from each Patterson diagram is the better, nor-



Fig. 8. Bragg-Fourier projection on 001 in BaCl₂, 2 H₂O. Photometric intensities.

to estimate whether figures obtained by Patterson synthesis are more accurate than the slightly different values obtained from subsequent Bragg synthesis on the same data. Hence it was decided to take for final values the averages from all projections. The figures and averages are given in Table 2. Table 2 gives some idea of the accuracy which may be attached to the averages. Each set of parameter values has been obtained from

10

a carefully drawn detail map of the peak in question. These maps, 15 in all, are not reproduced.

The final water parameters were chosen in the following way. It was assumed that the water molecules link two Ba atoms at



Fig. 9. BaCl₂, 2 H₂O projected on 001. \circ Ba, \bigcirc H₂O, \bigcirc Cl.

distances of about 2.85 Å, and that all distances from other neighbouring atoms are not much below the sums of corresponding radii. A few trials gave parameters involving reasonable distances from all neighbouring atoms and causing the water molecule to make two distinct contacts with Cl atoms apart from the two contacts with Ba atoms originally assumed. Four such contacts, two negative and two positive, show that the water molecule is of Bernal's "tetrahedral" type.¹

¹ J. D. BERNAL and R. H. FOWLER. J. chem. Phys. 1 (1933) 515.

The atomic parameters are tabulated in Table 3. Interatomic distances are given in Table 4. The distances in the last column of Table 4 are the corresponding radius sums.

The letters in the first column of Table 4 refer to Fig. 9 and



Fig. 10, which depict the structure. It is scarcely possible to denote all the distances in a complicated structure like the one in hand, in such a way that their position in space can be visualized without the aid of a diagram or a model.

Description of the Structure.

The structure consists of identical $(BaCl_2, 2H_2O)_{n^2}$ layers of which one is shown in Fig. 10. The layer is projected on

14

Nr. 3 Nr. 3

010. The figure should be compared with Fig. 11, the corresponding picture of a $(SrCl_2, 2 H_2O)_{n^2}$ layer which is taken from the preceding paper.¹ The two layers are similar in so far as a metal atom in each is surrounded by 4 Cl and 4 H₂O. The chief difference between a Ba layer and a Sr layer is best seen by considering these clusters of eight atoms around a central metal atom as a distortion of an arrangement of atoms at the eight



Fig. 11. SrCl₂, 2 H₂O. Single layer projected on 100. \circ Sr, \bigcirc H₂O, \bigcirc Cl.

corners of a cube. There are in all seven ways in which two different sets of four balls may be arranged at the corners of a cube. They are shown in Fig. 12 denoted with their symmetry symbols in the Hermann-Mauguin shorthand.

The $SrCl_2$, $2 H_2O$ layer is built by distortion and linking of 4mm units, while $BaCl_2$, $2 H_2O$ is derived from mmm units. Possibly several others of these arrangements may be found in other crystals of AX_2Y_2 compounds built of neutral layers, or even in crystals containing electrically charged layers of this formula.

Another noteworthy difference between the two kinds of layer is that while in $SrCl_2$, $2H_2O$ the Sr atoms of one layer all lie in a plane, in $BaCl_2$, $2H_2O$ they form a puckered layer. This puckering causes each Ba atom to be rather close to one Cl

¹ A. TOVBORG JENSEN, D. Kgl. Dansk Vidensk. Selskab, Mat.-fys Medd. XX (1942) Nr. 5.

atom in the adjacent layer. The corresponding line is called m in Fig.9. The distance is 3.38 Å as compared with 3.20 for a contact. A similar feature is not present in $SrCl_2$, $2H_2O$, where Sr atoms on all sides are completely screened by adjacent Cl and H_2O .

The distances between atoms in adjacent layers in $SrCl_2$, $2H_2O$ were all well above the corresponding radius sums. In $BaCl_2$, $2H_2O$



Fig. 12. The seven different ways in which two different sets of four balls may be arranged at the corners of a cube.

several distances between Cl atoms and H_2O molecules in different layers are equal to the corresponding radius sum. Hence the electrostatic attraction between adjacent layers is probably considerable. This attraction seems to be the reason why BaCl₂, 2 H₂O although a layer lattice, does not easily cleave parallelly to the layers.

Comparison between Calculated and Observed Intensities.

Table 5 gives a comparison between observed and calculated values of F(hk0). The observed F's are the set photometrically

registered. They are given in the arbitrary units in which they were obtained. The calculated values employ the final set of parameters as given in Table 2. The f curves applied were James's and Brindley's. The agreement is considered satisfactory. Observed F's at high glancing angles are much lower than the calculated values in accordance with the fact that the calculated F's are not corrected for thermal movement (Debye factor). The agreement between observed F's and *Ba contributions alone* was generally poor. This lack of agreement at an early stage made the author discard the results altogether. When the Cl contributions are added, all the more striking discrepancies are removed. Addition of O contributions only slightly alters the picture. It removes two minor discrepancies and in no case makes the agreement less good.

A powder photograph with CoK_{α} radiation was the best one obtained, better than diagrams with Cu, Fe, or Cr radiation. Still, it was strongly fogged by fluorescence radiation. Lower tension than usual did not improve the diagram. With Co radiation 408 planes are able to reflect within the range of the camera, only 77 lines, however, were registered. The entire diagram could be indexed, but the upper half of it contains so many "clashings" that it is of little use. Table 6 shows the calculated and observed intensities for the lowest 112 possible reflections. The agreement is good apart from one single line, 020, which is registered with an intensity one or two classes too high. The explanation is obvious: 020 is reflected from the only face developed on small flaky crystals and hence apt to give too strong reflections because of preferential orientation in the powder specimen. The BaCl₂, 2H₂O powder had been precipitated from a strong aqueous solution by alcohol. No attempt was made to remove the effect by grinding the powder. Moderate grinding of soft hydrate crystals spoils the quality of their powder-diagram. As previously noted for the hk0 intensities, it was seen that the Ba contribution alone is quite insufficient to account for the observed intensities. The Ba and Cl contributions suffice, and the O contributions make but little difference.

Summary.

The complete structure of BaCl₂, 2 H₂O, which is determined by 15 independent parameters, has been worked out by an extensive use of Patterson- and Bragg-Fourier-synthesis on visually and photometrically estimated intensities. Ba parameters were determined very accurately by Patterson and Bragg synthesis, Cl parameters somewhat less accurately by Bragg synthesis. O parameters were determined (following indications on a Fourier diagram) by consideration of plausible coordination and space requirement. The two O's could be fitted into the BaCl₂ framework in one and only one way. The structure consists of $(BaCl_2, 2H_2O)_{n^2}$ layers parallel to the most prominent face, 010, of the crystal. Each Cl and each O atom in a layer link two Ba atoms. so each Ba is touched by 4 Cl and 4 O, which form a compact cluster around the Ba atom. Structural differences between BaCl₂, 2H₂O and SrCl₂, 2H₂O previously determined are discussed. It is shown that the BaCl₂, 2 H₂O structure is derived from one of the seven possible ways of arranging two different sets of four balls at the corners of a cube. SrCl₂, 2 H₂O is derived from another of the seven arrangements, which are shown in Fig. 12 It is pointed out from a discussion of atomic distances that the consecutive layers of (MeCl₂, 2 H₂O)_{n²} are closer to each other in the Ba than in the Sr compound. This explains the absence of cleavage in BaCl₂, 2H₂O.

Acknowledgements.

I am highly indebted to Professor NIELS BJERRUM for his unfailing interest in these studies and for the excellent working conditions in the laboratory; to Professor GUNNAR Hägg of Uppsala, in whose laboratory part of the work was done in the spring of 1940, for his constant aid and encouragement, and to the Carlsberg Foundation and K. A. Larssens Legat for grants.

Copenhagen. Royal Veterinary and Agricultural College. Chemical Laboratory.

2

Table 1.

Lattice constants of BaCl₂, 2 H₂O.

a	b	c	·β	
6.69 Å	10.86 Å	7.15 Å	91°.05	(Náray-Szabó and Sasvári)
6.738 Å	10.86 Å	7.136 Å	90°.57	(Author)

Table 2.

All parameter values obtained and their averages.

	Fig. 1 a	Fig. 3 a	Fig. 3 b	X a	X b	Fig. 5	Fig. 6	Fig. 8	Average
Ba x y z	0.216 0.145	0.050 0.217	0.037 0.219	0.050 0.216	0.042 0.215	0.217 0.150	0.047 0.218	0.043 0.218 	0.045 0.217 0.147
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbf{I}} x \dots \\ y \dots \\ z \dots \end{array}$	•••	•••	· · ·	· · ·		0.087 0.781	0.838 0.088 	0.864 0.077	0.851 0.084 0.781
$Cl_{II} \times \dots $ $y \dots $ $z \dots$				• •	•••	 0.094 0.289	0.622 0.113	0.660 0.107	0.641 0.105 0.289

The columns marked a contain values read off from special point peaks in the Patterson diagrams. Values in columns marked b are read off from general point peaks. There are no Fig. 1 b data, because the general point peak in Fig. 1 is too near a special point peak and too blurred to be of any use. X a and X b are from a Patterson x-y diagram based on photometric data, which is not reproduced.

Table 3.

Atomic parameters in BaCl₂, 2H₂O.

•	х	у	z
Ва	0.045	0.217	0.147
Cl_1	0.851	0.084	0.781
$\hat{Cl_{II}}$	0.641	0.105 -	0.289
0 ₁	0.36	0.15	0.93
0 ₁₁	0.23	0.15	0.49

Table 4.

Interatomic distances in BaCl₂, 2 H₂O.

No.	Kind	Distance	Radius Sum		
a b c d e f h i	$Ba - Cl_I$ $Ba - Cl_I$ $Ba - Cl_II$ $Ba - Cl_II$ $Ba - O_I$ $Ba - O_I$ $Ba - O_II$ $Ba - O_{II}$ $Ba - O_{II}$ $Ba - O_{II}$	3.11 Å 3.27 - 3.16 - 3.24 - 2.78 - 2.81 - 2.82 - 2.80 - 3.18 -	3.20 Å " 2.77 A " 3.19 Å		
J k l (m	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{I}} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{II}} \\ \mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{II}} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{II}} \\ \mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{II}} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{I}} \\ \mathbf{B}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{I}} \end{array}$	3.22 - 3.17 - 3.19 - 3.38 -	" " 3.20 Å)		

Table 5.

Calculated and observed F's in the hk0 zone of $BaCl_2$, $2 H_2O$. CuK_{α} radiation.

8.									
hk	sin θ	F calc.	F obs.	Obs. Int.	hk	sin θ	F calc.	F obs.	Obs. ⁻ Int.
11	.132	7.6	0	0	25	.414	6.6	0	0
02	.140	33.1	29.5	m	06	.418	25.1	15.7	w-m
12	.179	2.5	0	0	16	.432	9.8	0	0
20	.225	27.4	14.1	w-m	34	437	23.6	14.0	w
21	.235	22.3	10.1	w-m	40	.450	0.0	0	Ö
13	.237	21.3	21.4	m	41	.455	32.8	12:9	w w-m
22	.264	36.9	25.1	m	42	.471	$\overline{22.0}$	12.4	w
04	.278	3.5	0	0	26	.473	9.4	0	0
14	.300	$\overline{25.2}$	14.1	w	35	.484	18.8	9.1	w
23	.307	$\overline{21.9}$	13.9	w	43	.495	$\overline{24.2}$	12.3	w
31	.345	6.7	0	0	17	.500	$\overline{40.5}$	36.0	m-s
24	.358	32.4	15.7	w-m	44	.528	21.9	11.4	w
32	.365	2.5	0	0	27	.536	$\overline{4.4}$	0	0
15	.366	37.3	25.4	m	36	.537	27.0	8.5	vw
33	.396	$\overline{20.4}$	10.1	w	08	.556	1.2	0	0

19

 2^*

Table 5 (continued).

									Table 6.								
hk	sinθ	F calc.	F obs.	Obs Int.	hk	sin θ	F calc.	F obs.	Obs. Int.	Calcu	lated and	observe BaCl ₂ ,	d inten 2H ₂ O. (sities CoK _α ι	in a powd radiation.	ler diag	ram of
51	.566	4.2	0	0	211	.797	16.2	0	0						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
18	.568	5.4	0	0	73	.807	12.2	0	0	hkl	$10^4 imes \sin 2 heta$	heta F ²	Obs.	hkl	$10^4 imes \sin 2 heta$	$ heta$ F 2	Obs.
45	.569	18.5	9.8	v, w	74	.827	19.7	0	0				Int.		-		Int.
52	.580	27.6	10.5	w	410	.828	4.3	0	. 0	011	0368	19	0	ī41	2307	38	w
37	.592	15.3	7.1	v	67	.832	0.3	0.	0	110	0400	24	0	141	2325	3.2	0
53	.600	$\overline{6.1}$	0	0	012	.835	15.6			020	0442	250	m	132	2328	6.7	0
28	600	3.8	0	0	311	.837	16.8	9.4	w	101	0537	226	w	212	2329	37	· V
46	613	81	0	Ô	59	842	5.6	6	· 0 :		0555	50	0	231	2390	49	w
54	697	13.5		0	112	843	12.2	0	0	111	0648	132	0	013	2420	3.ə	0
10	.047 626	10.0	16.5		75	854	$\frac{1-1}{71}$	0	Î Î Î	021	0600	56		$\frac{251}{103}$	2420 2571	44	U V
19	.030	40.0	10.0	w-m	212	865	100	77	w	120	0731	0.8	0	$\overline{2}22$	2588	2.3	Ŏ
00 	.001	19.2	0.0	V O	414	.000	15.0			<u>1</u> 21	0979	. 146	v	103	2625	47	v
55 	.001	$\frac{2.1}{$	0	. 0	00	.075	0.0	0		121	0997	43	0	222	2660	36)
47	.663	3.2	0	0	70	.884	24.4	. 0.4	W-111	002	1026	27	0	113	2682	49) · ·
29	.665	0.9	0	0	411	.888	12.0	5.5	W	012	1137	152	v	310	2712	1.3	· · · 0
60	.676	5.8	0	0	510	.895	17.1	6.1	V	200	1156	92	0	113	2736	2.9	0
61	.679	31.9	13.4	w-m	80	.900	7.5	0	0	910	1252	- 21 - 60		025	2791	0.5	
62	.689	10.2	0	0	312	.900	12.1	0	0	130	1207	50	} w	$\frac{042}{301}$	2730	29.5	v
010	.696	22.1	8.5	v	81	.903	19.1	6.7	w	100	1408	0.4		301	2885	0.6	Ó
56	.700	23.8	11.8	w	82	.911	17.2	6.3	w	112	1444	10.2	0	240	2926	31.6	' w
110	.704	12.2	0	0	113	.911	16.6			022	1468	29	0	311∴	2942	2.1	0
63	.707	24.1	13.8		77	.920	2.9	0	0	$\overline{2}11$	1506	77	v.	311	2996	94	w-m
39	.711	26.1	13.8	w-m.	69	.920	6.9	0	0	131	1532	108	w-m		3013	21.3	0
48	.716	3.4	0	´ 0	83	.924	$\overline{20.5}$	5.5	w ·	211	1542	21.3	} m	051	3022	13.0	
64	730	03	ů ů	0	213	.933	8.6	· 0 ·	0	151	1500	1/3		150	3054	44	
910	731	11 7	Ň		84	943	181	*	v	$\frac{420}{122}$	1739	50	0	$\frac{1}{1}42$	3067	45	W-m
57	745	11.7			412	948	$\frac{10.1}{11.3}$	*	v	040	1770	1.5	0	123	3067	47	
01	750	15.0			511	050	33	0	0	122	1775	110	w-m	142	3103	11.4	0
60	.759	$\frac{15.0}{10.0}$		0	70		$\frac{0.0}{92.6}$	77	337-133	221	1837	18	v?	$\overline{2}32$	3141	27	v?
49	.770	10.0	10	U	10 0r	.907	20.0	0	0	221	1873	5.8	0	241	3165	2.0	0
310	.773	$\frac{19.4}{10.6}$	12.4	. W	80	.900	9.4		0	032	2021	118	} m-s	241	3201	28	V
111	.773		12.4	W	313	.965	2.2	0	0	041	2027	01 . 1 31	J	232	3213		
71	.783	4.5	0	0	610	.969	5.2	0	U	140 202	· 2146	5.7	0 W	151	3302	2.5	i o
58	3 .791	37.6	18.0	m	014	.974	23.0	7.0	m-s	230	2151	26	v	033	3304	11	v?
72	2 .791	8.1	0	0	114	.980	3.6	0	0	202	2218	29	0	151	3320	9	0
60	3 .793	8 8.2	2 0	0			I	I	1	212	· 2257	102	v	321	3327	0.6	0
	* visible	e but not	strong eno	ugh to sho	w up o	n photo	meter cur	ve.		132	2292	0	0	$\overline{2}13$	3522	4.3	0

21

22

Nr. 3

Tabel 6 (continued).

					·		<u> </u>
hkl	$10^4 imes \sin 2\theta$	θ F ²	Obs. Int.	hkl	$10^4 imes \sin 2\theta$	heta F ²	Obs. Int.
199	3566	2.3	0	004	4104	3.3	0
330	3596	1.0	0	322	4123	1.8	0
199	3620	1.0	0	$\overline{2}51$	4160	4.8	0
155	3630	0	0	251	4196	9.0	h.
210	3684	19	0	014	4215	5.2	v?
059	3701	10.6	0	061	4239	12.9	
004	9709	10.0		160	4271	3.2	0
-014 	3896	3.0		143	4341	11.9	h
ออ เ จ ิ ดอ	3020	0		340	4371	12.8	l} v −
220 991	3880	44	0	143	4395	8.4	
531	3016	1.1	0	$\frac{1}{233}$	4406	3.1	0
242 950	2091	10	0	114	4468	6.4	h
200 690	3921	0.5	0	233	4514	7.7	v
220 000	2901	75	h	161	4519	4.7	
060	3984	97	} 'v?	161	4537	0.4	1 0
242	5960	0.7	L) o	111	4540	0.9	Ő
322	4015	0.9	0	094	4546	23.1	v
152	4062	0.4	0	529	4568	20.1	0
043	4079	1.2		354	4000	<i>A.</i> 0.	
152	4098	3.3	0	11.	1 .	1 .	

DET KGL. DANSKE VIDENSKABERNES SELSKAB MATEMATISK-FYSISKE MEDDELELSER, BIND XXII, ŃR. 4

ON A NEW APPARATUS FOR GAS ANALYSIS

. **B**Y

J. A. CHRISTIANSEN AND INGER WULFF



KØBENHAVN I KOMMISSION HOS EJNAR MUNKSGAARD 1945

Indleveret til Selskabet den 14. November 1944. Færdig fra Trykkeriet den 12. Juni 1945.